

**Borneo Journal of Pharmacy** Vol 3 Issue 4 November 2020 Page 262 – 269

#### Research Article

# Patient's Compliance with Oral Antibiotics Treatments at Community Health Centers in Surabaya: A 20-KAO Questionnaire Development

## Ilil Maidatuz Zulfa <sup>1\*</sup>

Widya Handayani 20

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Pharmacy, Akademi Farmasi Surabaya, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Akademi Farmasi Surabaya, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia

\*email: ililmaidatuz@gmail.com

Keywords: Antibiotics Compliance Community Health Centre Questionnaire

## Abstract

The irrational and incompliance use of antibiotics has been correlated to bacterial resistance. Several methods evaluated patients' compliance with oral antibiotics have been conducted. However, a standard questionnaire for evaluating oral antibiotics compliance in Indonesian has not been developed yet. This study was conducted to record the content validity of the developed questionnaire called 20-KAO to assess compliance with oral antibiotics. The validity content test was conducted through six experts review using the Item Content Validity Index (I-CVI) and Scale-Content Validity Index (S-CVI). The experts were also requested to provide recommendations for each item, whether revisions or deletion. After the review process, the number of questions remains unchanged. A total of 19 out of 20 items had an I-CVI of 1.00, and S-CVI was calculated at 0.98. Therefore, 20 items of the 20-KAO questionnaire have excellent content validity. However, future construct validity and reliability test to analyze the responses of targeted respondents and the questionnaire's consistency are needed.

Received: September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Accepted: October 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Published: November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020

© 2020 Ilil Maidatuz Zulfa, Widya Handayani. Published by Institute for Research and Community Services Universitas Muhammadiyah Palangkaraya. This is an Open Access article under the CC-BY-SA License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/). DOI: https://doi.org/10.33084/bjop.v3i4.1660

# INTRODUCTION

(cc)

Antibiotics are chemical substances produced by microorganisms that can inhibit or kill bacteria (Fair & Tor, 2014). Antibiotics usage for an infection in the low and middle-income countries has increased up to 65% from 2010-2015 (Klein *et al.*, 2018). An increase has followed the increase in usage in bacterial resistance. The irrational use of antibiotics, such as treating viral infections and usage on the farm and fisheries, correlates with bacterial resistance (Economou & Gousia, 2015). Furthermore, the patient's compliance in taking their oral antibiotics also contributed to bacterial resistance prevalence (Moradi *et al.*, 2013).

Several studies evaluated the patient's compliance with oral antibiotics have been conducted using various methods. Measuring compliance using objective or

subjective methods has its particular limitations (Jin et al., 2008). Examples of objective methods in assessing patient compliance are pill count and Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS). Pill count is a physical count of the number of pills that remain and compares to the prescription's instruction (Lam & Fresco, 2015). The MEMS is a medication container contains a microelectronic chip that records the date and time of opening of every bottle (Llor et al., 2013). Although MEMS measures compliance accurately, it cannot be used in an everyday situation. Besides, pill count cannot describe specifically the aspects of compliance, such as timing, dosing, and taking compliance (Willams et al., 2013). Hence, a subjective method such as using a questionnaire is preferable because of less cost, nonjudgmental, non-threatening, quick, and comfortable in

collecting results (Lam & Fresco, 2015; Llor *et al.*, 2013; Ponto, 2015).

Various questionnaires such as Medication Adherence Questionnaire (MAQ), Medication Adherence Rating Scale (MARS), Patient Medication Adherence Questionnaire (PMAQ), Morisky Medication Adherence Scale (MMAS), etc. have been developed and validated to measure compliance (Morisky *et al.*, 1986; Thompson *et al.*, 2000; Duong *et al.*, 2001). However, the questionnaires have not been translated and validated into *Bahasa Indonesia* for measuring compliance with oral antibiotics treatment in Indonesia. A study has been conducted to validate the English version of Morisky 3, 4, and 5-item questionnaires in assessing oral antibiotics compliance (Treibich & Ventelou, 2017).

In Indonesia, measuring oral antibiotics compliance usually uses pill count. Therefore, there has been no questionnaire developed yet. Hence, developing a standard questionnaire for evaluating oral antibiotics compliance in Bahasa Indonesia is essentials. In developing a questionnaire, validity tests are needed in order to evaluate whether it measures what it is supposed to measure or not (Setia, 2017). Validity tests are classified into two broad categories, viz internal and external validity (Bolarinwa, 2015). Internal validity includes content validity that measures the degree to which the instrument comprehensively assesses the construct of interest usually conducted before external validity. Therefore, this study was conducted to record the developed questionnaire's content validity assessing compliance with oral antibiotics.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted observationally from August to September 2020 to establish the evidence of content validity of the developed questionnaire, namely 20-KAO from experts.

#### 20-KAO Questionnaire

The 20-KAO questionnaire was developed in Bahasa Indonesia and aimed to assess short-course oral antibiotics usage compliance. The name 20-KAO was developed from 20 items in assessing compliance with oral antibiotics or in Bahasa Indonesia: Kepatuhan Antibiotik Oral (KAO). The questionnaire was developed in four sections and contained 20 questions. Section one contained ten questions to evaluate whether the patients understood the dosage regimens and took their dosage correctly or not and the reasons behind their action in taking the dosage. Section two rated the patient's understanding, compliance, and the reasons in how many times they took the dosage daily through five questions. In the third section, it was assessed how patients gave an interval between their dosages and the reasons why they did it. In the last section, the patient's understanding of the duration of taking the antibiotics, and whether they had stopped taking the antibiotics before it should be stopped, and their reasons to do so. Additionally, patients were also asked to fill in the number of pills left in the questionnaire and if they used other nonprescribed medication.

## **Content** validation

The literature shows that the ideal number of content experts needed in a validation study is still controversial. However, the suggestions are between three and ten experts (Polit & Beck, 2006). In this study, a total of six experts were selected. Three of them were academic experts, while three remained were registered pharmacists. These experts' proportion were designed intentionally so that the review results would reflect academic and practical opinions. The academic experts were selected based on their experiences in developing a questionnaire and the pharmacists' experts' experiences in giving patient consultation to improve the patient's compliance. The experts were invited from educational institutions and community health centers in Surabaya (they were registered pharmacists in Wonokromo, Gayungan, and Kalirungkut Community Health Centers and academic lecturers in clinical as well as community pharmacy at Universitas Airlangga and Akademi Farmasi Surabaya). After getting their approval, the researcher sent an informed consent form, an information cover letter, and the questionnaire attached to the evaluation criteria. The evaluation form contained an explanation of the validation procedure. The experts were asked to assess the relevance of each question in the questionnaire.

The relevance meant whether all the items in the 20-KAO questionnaire referred to measure the antibiotics usage compliance in dose, frequency, and the duration of therapy. To determine the relevance of each item, a four scale was used (1 = not relevant, 2 = somewhat relevant, 3 = quite relevant, 4 = highly relevant). The experts were also requested to provide recommendations for each item, either revisions or deletion. The maximum time for validating the questionnaire for each expert was two weeks, and they were requested to return the result through email or in-person to the researcher. The response from the experts was analyzed through Content Validity Index (CVI), specifically Item Content Validity Index (I-CVI) and Scale-Content Validity Index (S-CVI). The I-CVI was calculated in every item from the number of experts giving 3 or 4 scores divided by the total number of experts, while S-CVI was computed as the average of I-CVI from all the items. The questionnaire would qualify to be content valid if the S-CVI greater than 0.90.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

All of the six experts invited were agreed to participate. They were registered pharmacists in Wonokromo, Gayungan, and Kalirungkut Community Health Centers and academic lecturers in clinical and community pharmacy at Universitas Airlangga and Akademi Farmasi Surabaya. All experts are deliberately selected from Surabaya to facilitate communication. The mean age of the experts was 41.5 (SD=9.16) years. After the validation process, the number of question items in the 20-KAO questionnaire remained unchanged. There were 19 out of 20 items that had an I-CVI of 1.00. Therefore, S-CVI was calculated at 0.98 as shown in **Table I**.

 Table I.
 Result for the content validation of 20-KAO questionnaire

Contion	Item Question		Expert Raters				I-		
Section	Number	description	1	2	3	4	5	6	CVI
Section	Q1	Knowledge	3	4	4	3	4	3	1.00
Ι		of							
		prescribed							
		antibiotic							
		dose at <b>one</b>							
		time							
	Q2	Experience	4	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
		in taking <b>a</b>							
		higher							
		dosage at							
	0	Decomo for	4	2	4	2	4	2	1.00
	Qs	Reasons for	4	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
	01	Q2 Allswei	4	2	4	2	4	2	1.00
	Q <sup>4</sup>	in taking a	4	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
		lower							
		dosage at							
		one time							
	O5	Reasons fo	4	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
	~	O4 Answer							
	Q6	Knowledge	3	4	4	4	4	3	1.00
		of							
		prescribed							
		antibiotic							
		dose daily							
	Q7	Experience	4	4	4	3	4	3	1.00
i		in taking							
	<b>higher</b> dosage								
	$\sim$	daily Decomo (con	4	4	4	2	4	2	1.00
	Q8	Reasons for	4	4	4	3	4	3	1.00
	$\mathbf{O}$	Q/ Allswei	4	4	4	2	4	2	1.00
	Q9	in taking	4	4	4	3	4	3	1.00
		lower							
		dosage							
		daily							
	O10	Reasons for	4	4	4	3	4	3	1.00
	~ '	Q9 Answer							
Section	Q11	Knowledge	4	4	4	2	4	2	0.67
Π		of times							
		taken							
		prescribed							
		antibiotics							
		daily							
	Q12	Experience	4	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
		ın taking							
		more							
		rrequent							

	Q13	Reasons for Q12	4	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
	Q14	Answer Experience in taking <b>less</b>	3	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
	frequent Q15 Reasons for Q14 Answer		3	3	4	3	4	3	1.00
Section III	Q16	The time interval between dose	3	3	4	4	4	3	1.00
Section IV	Q17	Knowledge of prescribed antibiotics duration	3	4	4	4	4	3	1.00
	Q18	Whether has stopped their antibiotics course	4	3	4	4	4	3	1.00
	Q19	Reasons for Q19 Answer	4	3	4	4	4	3	1.00
	Q20	The number of pills remaining	4	4	4	4	4	3	1.00
Total agreement = 0.95 <sup>a</sup>					S-	CVI	= 0.98	3	

 Total agreement = 0.95<sup>a</sup>
 S-CVI=0.98

 <sup>a</sup>Number of items that achieved the I-CVI of 1.00 divided by the total number of items to be validated in the questionnaire

**Table I** showed that the questionnaire had excellentcontent validity in measuring oral antibiotic compliance.However, the experts gave some editorial revisions tomake the sentences more comfortable to be understood,as presented in Table II.

Table II. Editorial revision of 20-KAO questionnaire

Item	Question description	Original Question Sentences (Bahasa Indonesia)	After Editorial Revision (Bahasa Indonesia)	After Editorial Revision (English)
Q1	Knowledge of prescribed antibiotic dose at <b>one</b> <b>time</b>	Berapa tablet/kapsul antibiotik dari puskesmas yang seharusnya anda konsumsi tiap kali minum?	Berapa jumlah tablet/kapsul antibiotik yang seharusnya anda konsumsi tiap kali minum?	How many pills of antibiotic should you take at one time?
Q2	Experience in taking <b>higher</b> dosage at <b>one time</b>	Apakah Anda pernah mengkonsumsi <b>lebih</b> dari jumlah tersebut <b>tiap</b> <b>kali minum</b> ?	Apakah Anda pernah mengkonsumsi obat antibiotik <b>lebih</b> dari jumlah tersebut <b>tiap</b> <b>kali minum</b> ?	Have you taken more antibiotic pills than that at one time?
Q3	Reasons for Q2 Answer	Apa alasan Anda?	-	Please explain your reasons.
Q4	Experience in taking	Apakah Anda pernah mengkonsumsi	Apakah Anda pernah mengkonsumsi	Have you taken less

	lower	kurang dari	obat antibiotik	antibiotic
	dosage at	jumlah	<b>kurang</b> dari	pills than
	onetime	tersebut <b>tiap</b> kali minum?	jumlah tersebut <b>tian</b>	that at one
		кин типит:	kali minum?	time?
Q5	Reasons for	Apa alasan	-	Please
	Q4 Answer	Anda?		explain
				your
				reasons.
Q6	Knowledge	Berapa	Berapa jumlah	How many
	or	antihiotik dari	antihiotik yang	pills or
	antibiotic	puskesmas	seharusnya	should you
	dose daily	' yang	anda konsumsi	take in one
	-	seharusnya	dalam satu	day?
		anda konsumsi	hari?	
		aatam satu hari?		
07	Experience	Apakah Anda	Apakah Anda	Have vou
	in taking	pernah	pernah	taken more
	higher	mengkonsumsi	mengkonsumsi	antibiotic
	dosage	lebih dari	obat antibiotik	pills than
	daily	jumun tersehut	iumlah <b>dalam</b>	that in one
		dalam satu	satu hari?	uay:
08	Reasons for	hari? Ana alasan	_	Please
<b>Q</b> 0	O7 Answer	Anda?	-	explain
	~			your
				reasons.
Q9	Experience	Apakah Anda	Apakah Anda	Have you
	in taking lower	pernun menokonsumsi	pernun menokonsumsi	antibiotic
	dosage	kurang dari	obat antibiotik	pills than
	daily	jumlah	<b>kurang</b> dari	that in one
		tersebut dalam catu	jumlah tarabut	day?
		hari?	dalam satu	
			hari?	
Q10	Reasons for	Apa alasan Anda2	-	Please
	Q9 Answer	лпии:		vour
				reasons.
Q11	Knowledge	Berapa kali	Berapa kali	How many
	of times	seharusnya	dalam satu	times
	taken	Anda minum antibiotik dari	hari	should you
	prescribed	nuskesmas	Anda minum	take your
	daily	beberapa hari	antibiotik dari	prescribed
	,	yang lalu	puskesmas	in one day?
		dalam satu	sesuai	
		nari?	peresepan dokter?	
Q12	Experience	Apakah Anda		Have you
	in taking	pernah		taken more
	more	mengkonsumsi		frequently
	frequent	dalam satu		than that?
		hari?		
Q13	Reasons for	Apa alasan		Please
	Q12	Anda?		explain
	Answer			your
O14	Experience	Anakah Anda		reasons. Have you
Q11	in taking	pernah		taken less
	less	mengkonsumsi		frequently
	frequent	<b>kurang</b> dari		than that?
		nu uuum satu hari?		
Q15	Reasons for	Apa alasan		Please
	Q14	Anda?		explain
	Answer			your
014	The time	Bagaimana		reasons.
Q10	interval	Anda memheri		vou oive
	between	jeda waktu		interval
	dosage	dalam		between
		meminum antihiotik		your
		antiolotik Anda?		antibiotic
				uosage?

Q17	Knowledge	Untuk berapa	Sejak kapan	Since when
	of	hari	Anda minum	do you take
	prescribed	seharusnya	antibiotik?	your
	antibiotics	Anda minum		antibiotics
	duration	antibiotik Anda?		course?
Q18	Whether	Apakah Anda		Have you
	has stopped	telah berhenti		stopped
	their	meminum		your
	antibiotics	antibiotik		antibiotic
	course	Anda sebelum		course
		hari ini?		before
				today?
Q19	Reasons for	Apa alasan		Please
	Q19	Anda?		explain
	Answer			your
				reasons.
Q20	The number	Berapa	Berapa Jumlah	How many
	of pills	antibiotik anda	antibiotik anda	pills of
	remaining	yang tersisa?	yang tersisa?	antibiotics
				do you
				have left?

Among the 20 items validated, the only item with I-CVI less than 1.00 and significant editorial revision was Q11. The Q11 was developed to assess whether the patients understand how many times they should take their antibiotics or not. Two of the six experts suggested changing the sentence structured because the original sentence was too complicated. Changes in the structure of the questions were then carried out after consultation with these experts. The final form of the 20-KAO questionnaire after the content validity process was shown in **Table III**.

Identities	i					
Nama atau Inisial						
(Name or Ir	itials)		•••••			
Jenis Kelamin			. D Poromous	· Perempuan (Fomalo)		
(Gender)				II (Pennale)		
Usia dalam tahun						
(Age in y.o)						
Apakah anda memiliki riwayat penyakit ? Jika IYA, harap sebut			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
(Do you hav	re comorbids ? If YES, please mention it)					
Apakah and	la mengkonsumsi obat lain selain yang dir	esepkan untuk				
anda ? Jika	IYA, harap sebutkan.		:			
(Do you tai	te any other medications out of prescribed	if it its, please				
mention it)						
20-KAO	Questionnaire					
	1. Berapa jumlah tablet/ kapsul					
	antibiotik yang seharusnya anda					
	konsumsi <u>tiap kali minum</u> ?					
	(How many pills of antibiotic should					
	you take <b>at one time?)</b>					
				1		
	2. Apakah Anda pernah			3. Apa Alasan	Anda?	
	mengkonsumsi obat antibiotik	: <b>Ya</b> (Yes)		(Please expl	lain your reasons)	
	<u>hebin</u> dari jumlan tersebut <u>tiap kali</u> <u>minum</u> ? (Have you taken <b>more</b> antibiotic pills		: Tidak (No)			
Bagian 1						
(Section 1)	than that <b>at one time</b> ?)					
· · · /	4 Anakah Anda pernah			5 Ana Alasan	Anda?	
	mengkonsumsi obat antibiotik			(Please expl	ain vour reasons)	
	kurang dari jumlah tersebut tiap kali	$\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{r}})$		(i icuse expi	unit your reasons)	
	minum?	: Ia (Tes)	: Tidak (No)			
	(Have you taken <b>less</b> antibiotic pills					
	than that <b>at one time</b> ?)					
	6. Berapa jumlah tablet/ kapsul			L		
	antibiotik yang seharusnya anda	·				
	konsumsi <u>dalam satu hari</u> ?					

Table III. The 20-KAO questionnaire

	(How many pills of antibiotics should you take in <b>one day</b> ?)			
	7.       Apakah       Anda       pernah         mengkonsumsi obat antibiotik       lebih         dari jumlah       dalam satu hari?         Have you taken       more antibiotic pills         than that in one day?	: Ya (Yes)	: Tidak (No)	8. Apa Alasan Anda ? (Please explain your reasons)
	<ul> <li>9. Apakah Anda pernah mengkonsumsi obat antibiotik kurang dari jumlah tersebut dalam satu hari?</li> <li>(Have you taken less antibiotic pills than that in one day?)</li> </ul>	: Ya (Yes)	: Tidak (No)	<b>10. Apa Alasan Anda ?</b> (Please explain your reasons)
	<ul> <li>11. Berapa kali <u>dalam satu hari</u> seharusnya Anda minum antibiotik dari puskesmas sesuai peresepan dokter?</li> <li>(How many times should you take your antibiotic as prescribed in one day?)</li> </ul>	·		
Bagian 2 (Section 2)	12. Apakah     Anda     pernah       mengkonsumsi     lebih     dari     itu     dalam       satu hari?     (Have you taken more frequently than that?)	: <b>Ya</b> (Yes)	: Tidak (No)	<b>13. Apa Alasan Anda ?</b> (Please explain your reasons)
	14. Apakah     Anda     pernah       mengkonsumsi     kurang     dari     itu       dalam satu hari?       (Have you taken less frequently than that?)	: Ya (Yes)	: Tidak (No)	<b>15. Apa Alasan Anda ?</b> (Please explain your reasons)
Bagian 3 (Section 3)	16. Bagaimana Anda memberi jeda waktu dalam meminum antibiotik Anda?	Pagi-S (Morni Tiap 8	iang-Sore/Malam ing – Afternoon – E jam tepat	<b>Jam tidak tentu)</b> Evening (No exact time))
	(How do you give an interval between your antibiotic dosage?)	Pagi-S (Morn: Every (Morn: Every	ore/Malam (Jam ti ing – Evening (No 2 jam tepat 12 hours)	<b>dak tentu)</b> exact time))
Bagian 4 (Section 4)	<ul><li>17. Sejak kapan Anda minum antibiotik?</li><li>(Since when you take your antibiotics course?)</li></ul>	·		
	<ul> <li>18. Apakah Anda telah berhenti meminum antibiotik Anda sebelum hari ini?</li> <li>Have you stopped your antibiotic course before today?</li> </ul>	: Ya (Yes)	: Tidak (No)	<b>19. Apa Alasan Anda ?</b> (Please explain your reasons)
	<ul><li>20. Berapa Jumlah antibiotik anda yang tersisa?</li><li>(How many pills of antibiotics do you have left?)</li></ul>	:		······

To our knowledge, there had no reported studies developing a questionnaire that evaluated oral antibiotics

compliance in Indonesia. A study in Lithuania by Kandrotaite *et al.* (2013) developed a 91-items

questionnaire adapted from ASK-20, SF-12, and Morisky scale questionnaire to identify the risk of nonadherence antibiotics treatments. It was said that the developed questionnaire covered the identification of the fivedimension adherence model developed by World Health Organization (Kandrotaite et al., 2013). However, although the developed questionnaire had been discussed with nine professionals, it had not been validated yet. Besides, one of the studies in Indonesia that used questionnaires to measure antibiotics compliance was the study by Muljabar and Supadmi (2014) that used 8-items MMAS. However, the study did not validate the questionnaire directly to patients receiving antibiotics. Therefore, the questionnaire developed in this study could be tested for construct validity and reliability tests. Construct validity is the degree to which an instrument measures the trait or theoretical construct intended to measure, while reliability test is the extent to which a questionnaire produces consistent results on repeated trials (Boateng et al., 2018; Kimberlin & Winterstein, 2008). Construct validity for the 20-KAO questionnaire is essentials to measure how well the targeted respondents give answers as the questionnaire aims to measure, while the reliability test is useful to assess whether the questionnaire will give consistency in results. Future construct validity and reliability test for 20-KAO questionnaire can be done through distribution to the patient's prescribed antibiotics and then analyze their responses.

## CONCLUSION

The 20-KAO questionnaire was found to have excellent content validity based on six experts' reviews. Future construct validity and reliability tests for 20-KAO are needed to be conducted to analyze the respond of targeted respondents and the consistency of the questionnaire.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank The Ministry of Education and Culture Republic of Indonesia for the funding support in the scheme of Penelitian Dosen Pemula 2020, the community health centers of Surabaya for the permission, the experts who participated in this study, also Akademi Farmasi Surabaya for the approvals.

## REFERENCES

- Boateng, G.O., Neilandsm T.B., Fongillo, E.A., Melgar-Quiñonez, H.R., & Young, S.L. (2018). Best Practices for Developing and Validating Scales for Health, Social, and Behavioral Research: A Primer. Frontiers in Public Health, 6, 149. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2018.00149
- Bolarinwa, O.A. (2015). Principles and methods of validity and reliability testing of questionnaires used in social and health science researches. *Nigerian Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 22(4), 195-201. doi:10.4103/1117-1936.173959
- Duong, M., Piroth, L., Grappin, M., Forte, F., Peytavin, G., Buisson, M., Chavanet, P., & Portier, H. (2001).
   Evaluation of the Patient Medication Adherence Questionnaire as a tool for selfreported adherence assessment in HIVinfected patients on antiretroviral regimens. *HIV Clinical Trials*, 2(2), 128-135. doi:10.1310/M3JR-G390-LXCM-F62G
- Economou, V. & Gousia, P. (2015). Agriculture and food animals as a source of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 8, 49-61. doi:10.2147/IDR.S55778
- Fair, R.J. & Tor, Y. (2014). Antibiotics and Bacterial Resistance in the 21st Century. *Perspective in Medicinal Chemistry*, 6, 25-64. doi:10.4137/PMC.S14459
- Jin, J., Sklar, G.E., Oh, V.M.S., & Li, S.C. (2008). Factors affecting therapeutic compliance: A review from the patient's perspective. *Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management*, 4(1), 269-286. doi:10.2147/tcrm.s1458
- Kandrotaite, K., Smigelskas, K., Janusauskiene, D., Jievaltas, M., Maciulaitis, R., & Briedis, V. (2013). Development of a short questionnaire

to identify the risk of nonadherence to antibiotic treatment. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 29(11), 1555-1563. doi:10.1185/03007995.2013.835255

- Kimberlin, C.L. & Winterstein, A.G. (2008). Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*, 65(23), 2276-2284. doi:10.2146/ajhp070364
- Klein, E.Y., Boeckel, T.P.V., Martinez, E.M., Pant, S., Gandra, S., Levin, S.A., Goossens, H., & Laxminarayan, R. (2018). Global increase and geographic convergence in antibiotic consumption between 2000 and 2015. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 115(15), E3463-E3470. doi:10.1073/pnas.1717295115
- Lam, W.Y. & Fresco, O. (2015). Medication Adherence Measures: An Overview. *BioMed Research International*, 2015, 217047. doi:10.1155/2015/217047
- Llor, C., Hernández, S., Bayona, C., Moragas, A., Sierra, N., Hernández, M., & Miravitlles, M. (2013). A study of adherence to antibiotic treatment in ambulatory respiratory infections. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *17*(3), e168-172. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2012.09.012
- Martinez, J.L. (2017). Effect of antibiotics on bacterial populations: a multi-hierachical selection process. *F1000Research*, *6*, 51. doi:10.12688/f1000research.9685.1
- Moradi, M., Hamedi-Shahraki, S., Rezayee, M., & Verdi, M. (2013). Compliance with antimicrobial therapy: Evaluating the related factors. *Journal* of *Pharmaceutical Care*, 1(2), 60-64.
- Morisky, D.E., Green, L.W., & Levine, D.M. (1986). Concurrent and predictive validity of a selfreported measure of medication adherence. *Medical Care*, 24(1), 67-74. doi:10.1097/00005650-198601000-00007
- Muljabar, S.M. & Supadmi, W. (2014). Pengaruh Pemberian Informasi Obat terhadap Tingkat Kepatuhan Penggunaan Antibiotika pada Pasien ISPA di Puskesmas Kotagede I Yogyakarta. *Pharmaciana: Jurnal Kefarmasian*, 4(2), 143-149. doi:10.12928/pharmaciana.v4i2.1571

- Polit, D.F. & Beck, C.T. (2006). The content validity index: are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. *Research in Nursing and Health, 29*(5), 489-497. doi:10.1002/nur.20147
- Ponto, J. (2015). Understanding and Evaluating Survey Research. *Journal of the Advanced Practitioner in Oncology*, 6(2), 168-171.
- Setia, M.S. (2017). Methodology Series Module 9: Designing Questionnaires and Clinical Record Forms – Part II. Indian Journal of Dermatology, 62(3), 258-261. doi:10.4103/ijd.IJD\_200\_17
- Thompson, K., Kulkarni, J., & Sergejew, A.A. (2000). Reliability and validity of a new Medication Adherence Rating Scale (MARS) for the psychoses. *Schizophrenia Research*, 42(3), 241-247. doi:10.1016/s0920-9964(99)00130-9
- Treibich, C. & Ventelou, B. (2017). Validation of a shortform questionnaire to check patients' adherence to antibiotic treatments in an outpatient setting. *European Journal of Public Health*, 27(6), 978-980. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckx146
- Williams, A.B., Amico, K.R., Bova, C., & Womack, J.A. (2013). A proposal for quality standards for measuring medication adherence in research. *AIDS and Behavior*, 17(1), 284-297. doi:10.1007/s10461-012-0172-7